Exoplanets
Properties of the host stars

Distances of exoplanets
Most planets found between 10 and 1000 pc
Number of stars increases with sampled volume
Signal-to-noise ratio of stellar data decreases with increasing distance

Characterization of the host stars

- **Motivations**
  - Accurate determination of stellar masses and radii are required to improve the accuracy of the exoplanet measurements obtained with the Doppler and transit methods
  - Accurate measurements of stellar distances are fundamental to calibrate stellar parameters
  - Stellar ages indicate the evolutionary state of the hosted planets, since the process of planetary formation takes place over shorter time scales compared to those of stellar evolution
  - The space frequency and intrinsic luminosity of stars of different spectral types governs the efficiency of exoplanet observational surveys

Characterization of the exoplanet host stars

- Properties of the host stars of exoplanets are derived from a combination of astrometric, photometric, and spectroscopic observations, interpreted primarily within the context of stellar evolutionary models

- Exoplanets have been found around stars with different masses, at different stages of evolution, and at different locations in the Galaxy
Astrometry and stellar distances

- Astrometric measurements provide the database of the most accurate determinations of parallactic angles and stellar distances
- The Hipparcos satellite provided 1 mas accuracy for about $1.2 \times 10^5$ stars

The Hipparcos satellite provided 1 mas accuracy for about $1.2 \times 10^5$ stars.

Improvement in the knowledge of exoplanet host star distances by Hipparcos for the 100 brightest stars with exoplanets known with Doppler method in 2010.

Left: ground based compilations of distances. Right: Hipparcos distances.

Hertzprung-Russel (HR) diagram

- Theoretical diagram: $L_*$ versus $T_{\text{eff}}$
- Zero-age main sequences for low-mass stars versus Helium and "metal" abundances ($Y$ and $Z$, respectively)
- Evolutionary tracks in HR diagrams are calculated for different masses and metallicities (stellar composition)

Hertzprung-Russel (HR) diagram

- Hipparcos based HR diagram for stars within 25 pc
Statistical properties of exoplanet host stars

- Exoplanet surveys provide the opportunity to search for correlations between the frequency of detected planets and the properties of the host stars
- Trends between stellar and planet properties cast light on the process of planetary formation
- Among the stellar properties investigated, we consider
  - Masses
  - Kinematic properties
  - Metallicities
  - Elemental abundance ratios

Number of detected exoplanets versus mass of the host star

Stars of lower mass are more numerous and, in addition, make easier the indirect detection of planets

Effective temperature, $T_{\text{eff}}$, of the host star

- Most planets found in stars colder than the Sun
  - Planet signal is stronger in stars of low mass (i.e., low effective temperature)
  - However, low-mass stars are fainter and more active than solar-type stars

Metallicity of the host star

- Definition
  - The abundance by number of an element X relative to hydrogen (also called “absolute abundance”) is usually expressed in logarithmic units, relative to a reference value of solar abundance:
    $$[X/H] = \log \left( \frac{N_X}{N_H} \right) - \log \left( \frac{N_X}{N_H} \odot \right)$$
  - For elements heavier than He, a measurement of stellar $[X/H]$ is an indicator of stellar “metallicity”
  - The most classical indicator is [Fe/H], since iron lines are easy to measure in stellar spectra
- The metallicity is an indicator of Galactic chemical evolution
  - Metals are produced by stellar nucleosynthesis and ejected in the interstellar medium
  - Stars born from the interstellar medium previously enriched by metals have a higher metallicity than stars of previous generations
Metallicity distribution of planet-hosting stars: experimental data

- The frequency of planet-hosting stars increases with metallicity and peaks at abundances higher than solar.
- Due to selection effects, the result is dominated by the statistics of giant planets.

The trend with metallicity is observed for giant planets, but not for planets with lower mass (Sousa et al. 2011).

The selection of a statistical sample of comparison stars is not trivial. Undetected low-mass planets could be present in the comparison sample.

Metallicity distribution: planet-hosting stars: versus comparison stars

- Statistical comparison between the two samples indicates that stars that host planets have a higher level of metallicity than stars without planets.

The role of selection effects is not clear.
- The majority of the sample of detected exoplanets could be representative of a specific subset of the overall exoplanet population.
- If selection bias is not important, three types of interpretation have been advanced:
  - Self-enrichment
  - Different Galactic origins
  - Primordial occurrence

Understanding the correct interpretation would cast light on the process of planetary formation.
- A larger statistics is required to understand if a trend exists for low-mass planets.
Metallicity distribution of planet-hosting stars: interpretation of the excess of high-metallicity planet hosts

• Self-enrichment
  – In this interpretation, the high metallicity is a phenomenon restricted to the surface layers of the star, arising from the capture of metal-rich material, and the resulting "pollution" of the outer convective envelope
  – The pollution could result from inward migration of a planet onto the star as a result of dynamical friction
  – Stars with protoplanetary disks would accrete more rocky material and hence their surface metallicity would rise
  – This hypothesis was advanced when the metallicity-occurrence trend was discovered, but is not supported by observational evidence

Metallicity distribution of planet-hosting stars: interpretation

• Stellar migration along Galactocentric distance
  – According to this hypothesis, the giant planet occurrence-metallicity correlation is a manifestation related to the radial migration of stars in the Galactic disk
  – The inner Galactic disk has a large reservoirs of H$_2$ which improve the star formation efficiency, metal production and giant planet formation
  – Giant planet formation is hypothesized to correlate with Galactocentric distance, rather being primarily linked to metallicity
  – In this scenario, metal-rich stars found in the solar neighbourhood may have migrated from the inner Galactic disk

Abundance ratios in stars with and without planets

• Several studies have searched for systematic differences of element-to-element abundance ratios in stars with and without planets
• With a few exceptions, most studies indicate that the relative abundances [X/Fe] are basically similar in stars with and without planets

Open circles: planet host stars
Crosses: comparison stars
Dashed lines: solar abundances
Tentative evidence for enrichment of $\alpha$ elements in low-metallicity, planet-hosting stars (Adibekyan et al. 2012)

If confirmed, it would suggest a dependence of planetary formation efficiency on the initial chemical composition of the protoplanetary cloud.

- The lack of a significant trend between planet occurrence and element-to-element abundance ratios suggests that:
  - the metal enrichment of planet hosting stars is primordial, rather than due to self-enrichment
  - it is not necessary to invoke exceptional events of chemical enrichment (such as the pollution by a nearby supernova) in order to trigger planetary formation